



BLACK RAPE

The horrifying facts

RECENT FIGURES released by Scotland Yard show an 8 per-cent increase in sexual attacks in the Greater London area in the first quarter of 1986 by comparison with the same period last year.

But this is not the whole of the story; some of the rapes are of a viciousness and pointlessness never before known.

Take the case of Nigel Carrington, whose picture is shown in a cut-out from the *Daily Mail* on the upper right of this page, and with an accompanying headline that is, for once, thoroughly appropriate. Carrington broke into the home of a 79-year-old woman in South London and cruelly raped her. 2 months later he came back and did the same thing again! On another occasion he raped a 72-year-old woman, also in her home. He was sentenced to 13 years youth custody. One of his victims when interviewed said she thought he should have got life. With respect to her, we disagree; we think he should have been hanged.

Carrington's case is not the only one that has come to light involving the rape of elderly women; there have been several others. These rapes bring a new dimension to this type of crime, for

they cannot possibly have any normal sexual motive. The purpose of the rapist here seems simply to be to humiliate and degrade his victim, and of course the older the victim is the less effective can be her resistance.

Police authorities have been coy about giving out statistics concerning the ethnic breakdown of those reported for rape offences but there cannot be the slightest doubt, from an examination of the cases reported in the papers, that Blacks and members of certain other ethnic minority groups have been involved to an extent far out of proportion to their numbers in the population. This seems particularly the case with the rapes of elderly women which we have mentioned.

WE SHALL CONTINUE TO SPEAK OUT

Right now the Editor of *British Nationalist*, John Morse and British National Party Chairman, John Tyndall, who also writes for this paper, are facing prosecutions on 'race hate' charges arising partly out of previous reports and articles in this paper concerning the degree of black immigrant involvement in rising crime. This pending prosecution is not going to

deter us from stating the facts that are given here. We believe that the British public has a right to know.

This does not mean for one moment that all the horrific rape cases have involved Blacks and other racial minorities; some vile sexual attacks in recent times have been committed by Whites and the culprits here stand equally condemned.

We also acknowledge that there are some categories of crime, such as football hooliganism, in which Whites predominate.

Nevertheless, the inordinately prominent role of Blacks in particular types of crime, such as rape and mugging, is something which we believe should be reported, acknowledged and frankly and openly discussed.

Laws against 'race hate' — which, interestingly enough are never applied against Blacks or other racial minorities voicing hatred of Whites — are not going to silence either our paper or our party leaders in speaking and writing the truth.

At the top of the *Daily Mail* story about rapist Nigel Carrington it says: "What is happening to our country?"

We know what is happening to our country and we are going to expose it, race laws or not!

The new
savagery
that stalks
our streets

Daily Mail
THURSDAY, MAY 15, 1986

SWISS
HOLIDAY
— Page 27

What is happening to our country?

MONSTER

He's 19. He raped
a 79-year-old lady
in her own home.
Two months later
he broke in and
did it again...




Nigel Carrington: A 13-year sentence

DAILY MAIL REPORTER
THE words that sum up Nigel Carrington are among the hardest in the language. They include: thug, brute, beast and monster. And there are stronger ones too. Twice within two months 19-year-old Carrington raped a hapless 79-year-old woman, subjecting her to appalling attacks in the place she felt safest, her own home. Besides his attacks on a pensioner, Carrington is charged with rape, indecent assault and burglary. He is accused of breaking into the home of a 72-year-old woman in South London and raping her. Carrington, of Westminster Road, Camberwell, South London, had a "serious deviation," which, when he is found guilty, will mean that he must stay in a detention centre until he is 21 and then be transferred to prison. Judge Lynskey sentenced Carrington to 13 years' youth custody, which means he will be held in a detention centre until he is 21 and then be transferred to prison. Carrington, of Westminster Road, Camberwell, South London, had a "serious deviation," which, when he is found guilty, will mean that he must stay in a detention centre until he is 21 and then be transferred to prison. Carrington, of Westminster Road, Camberwell, South London, had a "serious deviation," which, when he is found guilty, will mean that he must stay in a detention centre until he is 21 and then be transferred to prison.

VICIOUS RAPISTS

Above: The case of Nigel Carrington as reported on the front page of The *Daily Mail*. Below: The 'Young Raiders' of Brixton, also reported in The *Daily Mail*. They committed a brutal rape against young white girls. Lower left: Identikit pictures of two animals who violated a Hackney woman


The Young Raiders of Brixton



SEVEN YEARS:
Michael Thompson, 17




SEVEN YEARS:
Clive Ballantyne, 17



SEVEN YEARS:
Gary Linton, 18



THREE YEARS:
Christopher Springer, 14



THREE YEARS:
Winston Edwards, 15

Society's
horror at
man's
inhumanity
to woman
— THE JUDGE

PAIR STILL HUNTED FOR KNIFEPOINT RAPE

POLICE have issued these photo-fit pictures of two youths who raped a Hackney woman at knife-point.

The 25-year-old victim was attacked as she made breakfast at her home in Amhurst Road. The two youths burst through the front door, grabbed the woman and threatened her with a knife.

Then they bound and gagged her with her own clothes before dragging her to a bedroom and raping her.

Police have been hunting the youths since the attack on September 21. Both are aged 16-20 and anyone who may have seen them in the area should contact Hackney CID, telephone 488 7111.



WHY S.A. RAIDS WERE RIGHT

PREDICTABLY, the fully justified raids carried out by South African security forces on terrorist bases of the Communist-controlled African National Congress (ANC), located in neighbouring black states, has been followed by the usual torrent of whining liberal humbug from the mouths of our politicians and the pens of our Fleet Street writers, with these people's customary knee-jerk anti-white reactions.

These include our Prime Minister, who cannot condemn South Africa fast enough for taking her own measures of self-protection against terrorism, having only recently supported President Reagan to the hilt for doing exactly the same thing!

The view of our ruling establishment would seem to be that South Africa should weakly and passively stand back doing nothing while her own people are attacked and killed. To be sure, this has been precisely the attitude of our own political leaders in the face of alien riots and mayhem in Britain.

We have on a number of occasions spoken out in defence of South Africa, and we make no apology for doing so again. It is worthwhile to state some of the very important reasons behind our unequivocal support for White South Africa and her principle of racial separateness under white leadership.

Most fundamentally, the situation of South African Whites, our racial blood kindred and fellow members of European civilisation, mirrors in miniature the global predicament of the White Race as a whole. The 20th century has witnessed an uprising of the coloured races aiming at nothing less than the total destruction of the White Man and his civilisation. South Africa faces this common threat to the White Race in a particularly acute form within her own borders. Her white people are a minority menaced by an exploding non-white population, which is being systematically incited against them.

Across the globe the coloured races are growing in self-confident hostility towards the diminishing percentage of the world's population still made up of Whites. Now in our own country we have started to see large-scale insurrections, mainly involving the racial minorities, in a number of our own cities. As a kind of advance guard of Afro-Asia, these racial explosions are clearly only the preliminary rumblings of the far worse race war yet to come.

Against this background, it is inappropriate, to say the least, for another Western country, especially Britain, to be denouncing South Africa, the only example since World War II of white men being prepared to stand and fight, openly and honestly, as an organised national community in racial self-defence, for the preservation of their blood and honour, in the face of alien subversion and primitive savagery.

The spokesmen and -women of our ruling 'liberal' establishment, who make a principle in every racial clash of always taking sides against their own kind, should be seen as the contemptible race traitors that they are. If they were to succeed in their ambition of bringing about the overthrow of White South Africa, the coloured billions throughout the world would not be slow in drawing the conclusion that Whites as a whole were not fit to survive.

THE LIES OF THE LEFT

A political creed that cannot survive except by methods of dishonesty demonstrates its worthlessness

IMAGINE someone trying to convert you to his point of view in politics. You listen to what he says and are prepared to believe there may be some merit in it. Then he makes a statement which you know, from evidence you have seen with your own eyes, to be untrue.

You realise from this that you are talking to a liar. And once this realisation dawns on you the man who is trying to convince you loses his credibility entirely. As you know one thing he has said is false, it is probable that a great deal of the rest of what he is saying is false too.

So it is with the political left. Over the years we have found them, again and again, to be liars. When a political doctrine relies for its promotion on lies, it demonstrates its worthlessness.

We recently were treated to a perfect example of the left-wing lie technique in operation. When the British National Party announced it was going to hold a public meeting in Bethnal Green, East London, on the 3rd of May, a mob of about 200-300 assorted left-wingers turned up at the venue, clearly intending by the use of force and intimidation to prevent the meeting taking place.

The BNP contingent, with forewarning of this attempt and for consequent reasons of security, assembled outside a nearby tube station in order to be able to proceed to the meeting hall in one body.

HALL ENTRANCE BLOCKED

Meeting them at the tube station were the police, who told them that the red mob had blocked the entrance to the meeting hall in such numbers that the police present, with the manpower they had at the time, would not be able to clear a path into the hall through which the BNP personnel could enter. Reinforcements were being sent for and in the meantime the police were seeking to find an alternative place of entry. BNP officers told the police that they were quite willing to make their way into the hall by the normal main entrance, in the process using force if they had to. The police, however, would not allow this.

Eventually, the police came back and told BNP officers that they had located an alternative entrance and had forced open the lock. The BNP were to proceed under police escort to that location, where they would be guaranteed unhindered entry into the hall.

It was a public meeting held in support of a local council election candidate, and the BNP intended that it should be open to the public to the limit of the hall's seating capacity. Knowing, however, that the reds intended trouble, the BNP had brought

a stewarding force for the purpose of ensuring the maintenance of good order.

Once the stewards had installed themselves inside the hall and prepared the premises for the meeting, it was announced that outsiders would be allowed in — this meaning of course mainly the left-wing opposition.

As many of these were allowed in as seating would accommodate. In the end about 50 members of the opposition were in the hall along with roughly the same number of BNP supporters.

SPEECH DROWNED

As soon as the chairman of the meeting, David Bruce, rose to announce the start of the meeting, the left-wing mob started making a deafening noise, keeping up non-stop chanting so

taken the action they did earlier, the meeting would have been broken up there and then.

DISHONEST REPORTS

It was following the meeting that the left showed its familiar penchant for dishonesty and lying. In one left-wing publication after another, it was claimed that opponents had only gone to the meeting for the purpose of 'peaceful protest' and a bit of 'heckling'. They were all behaving themselves like good little boys and girls

demonstrators.

As for being refused the right to enter a public meeting, members of the mob were allowed in to the limit of the then available seating capacity of the hall. When this had been filled, some still tried to force their way in by violent methods. Naturally, the police arrested them. What else were the police expected to do?

Later on in the same report it was claimed: "All the fascists were standing at one end listening to Tyndall. Then Tyndall raised his arm in some kind of salute and they all turned

'BLOODY MAYHEM'

ELECTIONEERING FASCIST STYLE

LEFT-WING CLAPTRAP
Above: Misleading heading to report in 'City Limits' magazine. Below and right: Cut out excerpts with their lies about police and BNP actions

An impromptu counter-demonstration outside—which included ILEA officers, school staff, local community leaders, clergy, pupils and even local Councillors—began peacefully but scuffles broke out when, without warning, police made a wedge and began clearing a pathway for the organisers. Some of the counter demonstrators were bruised in the affray. Some, who pleaded the right to enter a public meeting, were arrested.

John Tyndall, leader of the BNP, and his supporters were finally let into the building through a side door and police then agreed to allow other members of the public access ten at a time. In all, 30 of the demonstrators were allowed into the building and the police with no apparent concern for anyone's safety, locked the doors. One witness, an ILEA press officer, said 'All the fascists were standing at one end listening to Tyndall. Then Tyndall raised his arm in a sort of salute and they all turned round, started screaming, picked up chairs and began laying into us. They were used to street fighting. Among our lot there were many women, youngsters.' Another witness called it 'a bloody, horrible mayhem'.

Riot numbers

Sir — Your report on "Extremist ban called for after right wing election riot" (TES May 9) contained errors in the numbers of people involved in the meeting in the school hall.

The police escorted about 30 to 40 members of the British National Party into the school hall, and only about 50 members of the public were allowed admittance by the time the BNP supporters' attack began. This is verifiable by the fact that there were 51 chairs set out in five arched rows, the first four of which were mainly occupied by BNP members, the remainder by the public. Other members of the public were standing at the rear. The fire regulations actually restrict the hall to 150 people and at the time of the assault it was by no means full.

What is alarming, however, is the fact that the police who are fully aware of the history and nature of the BNP were asked to maintain a presence

inside the school hall by the ILEA's official observer on the spot, but they refused to do so. They thus exposed the public to danger of assault, a danger that became a reality within five minutes.

The violation of the school has left us with many painful memories, however, as your article indicates, nearly 300 people did mobilize within less than 24 hours, and their presence meant that the BNP were led in through the rear entrance turning the "election meeting" into a clandestine gathering of their "hard line" supporters.

Hopefully, the ILEA will gather sufficient evidence to submit to the DES for the 1946 Act to be amended, so that racist groups will never again be allowed access to any school building.

FRANK TARRANT
Headteacher
John Scurr Primary School
Cephas Street
London E1

LUDICROUS LETTER

This is what the school headmaster had to say to the 'Times Educational Supplement'. Is such a man fit to teach our children?

when they were brutally 'attacked' by BNP 'thugs'.

Take, for example, *City Limits*, the left-wing weekly, whose reporter and camerawoman were present. First it was alleged in its report that the left-wing counter-demonstration outside the hall "began peacefully" but "scuffles broke out when, without warning, the police made a wedge and began clearing a pathway for the organisers." The report continued: "Some of the counter-demonstrators were bruised in the affray. Some, who pleaded the right to enter a public meeting, were arrested."

This was of course misleading rubbish. The reporter of *City Limits* knew as well as anyone that the object of the so-called 'counter-demonstrators' was to use force to get the meeting stopped. They tried to prevent the BNP from entering the hall and, very properly, the police refused to permit this and cleared a way for BNP personnel. The mob resisted the police and, inevitably, there were scuffles in which some people were injured, police as well as

round, started screaming, picked up chairs and began laying into us. They were used to street fighting. Amongst our lot there were many women, youngsters."

Not a word here, you will note, that the opposition was keeping up a continual din by chanting, preventing anything from the platform being heard. In fact it was David Bruce who was trying to speak. When it was clear that the mob was not going to shut up, John Tyndall made a sign with both arms indicating to the stewards that the time had come to see the chanting mob out. The mob would not go peacefully — what were the stewards supposed to do? They simply exercised their right to eject them from the hall by whatever means were necessary.

There were one or two women among the mob but not many — they were more noticeable by the noise they made than for their numbers. As for 'youngsters' (by which in this context it is presumed the report means children) we did not see any.



WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED

Here the riot is in progress. BNP stewards (in the foreground) are ejecting left-wing troublemakers who tried to break up the meeting

Contd. on back page

CHAOS IN THE FRONT

WHAT WE PREDICTED 6 years ago would happen has now happened: the once proud National Front is being torn apart by an internal split degenerating in some aspects into nothing better than gang warfare.

Last month we commented upon a brawl between members of the two factions at an East End pub, with such charming methods of persuasion as a canister of ammonia being used. We now hear that this internal schism has come well and truly to a head in a battle for control between two elements. During the week ending the 17th May there was a series of applications for High Court injunctions involving disputes over party assets and records, then on Saturday, May 17th there was a meeting of the party's Directorate at which the contending left-wing faction headed by Mr. Nick Griffin took over in a 'coup d'etat' from the other faction of Messrs. Martin Wingfield, Ian Anderson and Andrew Brons.

The latter three were suspended at the same meeting and now moves are afoot to have them thrown out of the party. Some of their friends are very disgruntled at this prospect and dark hints are being made of impending visits to the homes of those responsible in the late hours of the evening!

'IDEOLOGICAL' WAR

Around 'ideological' and policy differences, although it is hard to believe that personal rivalries are not also involved. We in the BNP have had occasion in the past to comment on the leftward path that NF policy and propaganda have followed in recent years. Now it appears that that party is going to lurch even further to the left with the victory of the Griffin camp — so much so, indeed, that some of those who in the past have acquiesced in the leftward swing are now worried that this is going much too far.

In a recent issue of the Front's monthly magazine there was an article stating that the NF has a number of ideals in common with the Militant Tendency of the Labour Party, and this was followed up by copies of the article being sent to a number of Militant members in an attempt to recruit them. At about the same time it was revealed that Front members had joined the violent pickets at Wapping.

We have also heard reports from a reliable source that NF representatives recently made a visit to Libya in order to solicit funds from Colonel Gaddafi. It is understood that an obstacle to this is the present NF policy on race, which is considered offensive to Muslims, and there is now talk on the Directorate of the need to make fundamental changes in this policy.

Whether this latest, and worst yet, bout of internal fighting will sink the National Front once and for all it is early to say. We have long ago believed that the Front was finished as any kind of viable, credible and serious political force in Britain, although it

Third leadership change in 1½ years as left-wing faction takes over. JOHN TYNDALL comments



ONCE TOGETHER, NOW AT EACH OTHER'S THROATS Griffin and Harrington (second right and far right) have thrown out Anderson (centre). Pearce (second left) is thought to side with Anderson.

has still managed to stay around as a factor of nuisance value, frequently discrediting the cause of British Nationalism by the undisciplined antics of many of its younger members. It would perhaps be premature at this moment to pronounce final death sentence on it, but this latest orgy of internecine warfare constitutes just one more vast waste of everyone's resources and energies and just one more vindication of the course that my colleagues and I took earlier in this decade in severing our associations with the NF and establishing a new vehicle for the Nationalist cause.

Our position since then has always remained the same: that the NF Constitution, with its emphasis on internal 'democracy' is a sure recipe for never-ending factional strife; that it renders the party at all times vulnerable to infiltration, subversion and internal take-over; and that it ensures continual instability with the bewilderingly regular changes of leadership that it encourages, as well as the constantly ongoing 'ideological' squabbles.

The NF has again and again denigrated our own institution of strong, centralised personal leadership, but in fact that principle has been more than justified by the almost total absence of internal strife that we enjoy in our party. The rules and procedures that we adopted 6 years ago and have maintained since were not, as they would claim, adopted for the gratification of any individual's ego, but were the product of long experience of alternative governing methods during the period that many of us served in the Front, including myself.

Among the accusations now being made by the members of the warring

factions against each other is that of 'enemy infiltrator'. This causes me no surprise; I became convinced in 1980 that the NF had been infiltrated at the top by persons working to smash it, and nothing that has occurred since has induced me to change this view; on the contrary, the present row seems to bear all the hallmarks of infiltrators' work. We are of course vulnerable in our own party to infiltration also, and there was one particularly prominent case of this happening not so very long ago. The big difference, however, lay in the fact that the infiltrator in question was very limited in the harm he could do; the hierarchic structure of the BNP made it impossible for him or any group allied with him to seize power, and he duly left and blabbed his story to the world when he realised that he had got as far in as he would ever do. Apart from a small bit of unpleasant publicity for a week or two, the damage he did to us was minimal.

OUR EFFORTS FOR UNITY

It is well known that over the past 6 years, despite our differences with the Front, we have endeavoured to keep open a channel of communication with its leaders with a view to the possibility of eventual unification of the two parties.

Maintaining this channel of communication has for us at times been extremely difficult in view of the hostile attitude towards us that has largely prevailed on the NF Directorate.

In order not to slam the door on the possibilities of unity, we have over these years refrained from making public any stipulated terms, but have maintained the position that, apart

from the principles of Nationalism themselves, all things are negotiable. This has at times possibly given rise to the fear of some of our own supporters that in the quest for unity we would be prepared to make more concessions than would be justified. It has also, I think, given rise to some misapprehension on the part of people in the National Front that we were so anxious for unity that we would be prepared to purchase it at almost any price.

Neither belief would have been justified. Our stance on the matter of unity between Nationalist factions has been adopted hitherto with a view not to providing anti-unity elements within the Front with ammunition against us; that is all.

NEW CIRCUMSTANCES

Recent events, however, have created a fundamental change in the circumstances in which we must look at the question of unification.

So overwhelmingly have these events underlined the correctness of the stand that we have taken over all these years that I feel it would be wrong to allow any continued misapprehensions to exist — in either camp — as to the conditions under which we would consent to a coming together of the two streams of Nationalism that have been divided throughout the 1980s.

For us to consider now a unity scheme based upon a mutual give-and-take, in which there were concessions on our part to the leadership and organisational structures that have brought the Front to its present state, would be out of the question, involving as it would do, not just the risk, but the certainty that all we have worked hard to build over the past 6 years would be thrown down the drain.

And with the result that any 'marriage' between the two sides to which we consented would end in certain divorce within a year or so, if not sooner.

OUR PRESENT TERMS

It is therefore, I feel, the time to state the terms under which our party, and I personally, would consider a unification of Nationalist forces IN THE LIGHT OF THE PRESENTLY PREVAILING SITUATION.

These terms are very simply: the preservation of the present leadership, policies, constitution and organisational structure of the British National Party, and the absorption by that party, under its own conditions, of any individuals or groups from other parties as may wish to join us.

With this position now made clear, I would like to stretch out the hand of reconciliation to those with whom in the past we have quarrelled, and say to them: The way ahead is with the BNP. Let us join together to make it stronger — and let us waste no more time in putting British Nationalism on the map!

Maggie's tear

MRS. THATCHER, visiting Israel recently, made the usual mandatory call on the monument to victims of the 'Holocaust'. As she left, it was reported in the papers, the Prime Minister took out her handkerchief and dabbed away a tear.



This got us wondering where the tear came from. Did she have it in a small bottle in her handbag, ready for the appropriate moment? Or was there a stage make-up expert on hand to provide it just when the cameras appeared? Or maybe it was just a piece of perspiration from the heat, masquerading as a tear — who knows?

At any rate, we are reassured that, according to the papers, Maggie felt completely at home during her Israeli visit. As one of her party put it, "it's a bit like Finchley in the sun."

IN THIS PAPER there occur from time to time articles and reports which reflect unfavourably on some sections of the racial minorities in Britain, such as facts about crime, rioting, etc. These facts, and any opinions that are expressed in connection with them, are intended to persuade our readers of the failure of multi-racialism, not to encourage anyone to express hatred against members of racial minority groups. We oppose this hatred because it is entirely counter-productive and diverts attention from the real issue, which is that coloured immigrants and native British Whites should be SEPARATED — in the interests of both. There are a number of members of racial minorities who agree with us in this view, and we welcome their co-operation in achieving our common goal, which is peaceful and humane repatriation or resettlement of racial minorities in suitable countries overseas.

We are fully aware, when we make reference to anti-social behaviour by racial minority members, that there is much anti-social behaviour also by native Whites, for instance football hooliganism, which is a mainly white phenomenon. When this anti-social behaviour by Whites occurs, we condemn it as much as we condemn similar behaviour by coloureds. Just as we feel it legitimate, however, to point out that football hooliganism is a form of behaviour for which Whites are mainly responsible, so we also maintain it to be legitimate, when racial minorities are implicated in certain forms of anti-social or criminal behaviour out of proportion to their numbers, to report this frankly and to discuss its implications for Britain's future.

In conclusion, we urge all those who feel angered by the results of the multi-racial experiment not to vent their anger on innocent members of racial minorities, but to join with us in taking lawful political action to oppose the politicians who have created the problem.

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — Mrs. Thatcher has once again degraded Britain by pleading with Americans not to cancel their plans to visit this country as tourists. Quite obviously this is just another indication of our political leaders being so pessimistic about the state to which they have reduced our economy that they believe that we cannot do without the dollars that the visitors would bring.

If Americans want to come as tourists to our country they should be welcome, like any others. But when we go on our hands and knees to beg them to come we are making Britain look small and pathetic.

A country's economy should be healthy enough to enable it to do without tourism if it has to. Tourism should never be more than a bit of icing on the top of the cake.

J.D. BANNISTER
Crawley, Sussex

Sir, — I am no supporter of the politics of Soviet Russia but I find a foul taste in my mouth after listening to certain Western politicians try to exploit the Chernobyl nuclear disaster in an effort to discredit the Russians.

They are accused of playing down the scale of the disaster. So in fact would any Western government, including our own, if such a thing happened on its territory. When a catastrophe occurs, the first responsibility of the Government concerned is to avoid panic, and this sometimes means not releasing details of the full extent of the disaster except where that is absolutely necessary to protect lives. No doubt the first concern of the Soviet leaders was to protect the lives of their own people, and it is therefore to be expected that in the public announcements they made following Chernobyl the maintenance of a situation of the greatest possible calm was their top priority.

K. BIGGS (Mrs.)
Berwick-on-Tweed

NEW ASSAULT ON BRITISH INDUSTRY

Thousands more thrown on the scrapheap as Government permits Britain's shipbuilding industry to be butchered

WITH THE ANNOUNCEMENT of thousands more redundancies at British Shipbuilders, whole communities dependent on the industry are now facing ruin. This new butchery of Britain's manufacturing capacity is the logical outcome of many years of neglect by governments of all political stripes committed to the internationalist, 'laissez-faire' economic dogmas of 'free trade'.

The only people in Britain to profit from these redundancies are the international bankers of the City of London, who can make big profits from further investment in the industries of our South East Asian competitors while British workers are dumped on the scrapheap.


Mass unemployment is not the only evil result of these policies. National security and survival are potentially at risk. Britain is an island and seafaring nation, heavily dependent for her survival on her merchant shipping industry. This is exemplified in the fact

that, without the technical infrastructure provided by British shipyards to overhaul, refit and modify existing vessels, it is doubtful that the Falklands operation could ever have been mounted. With the reduction of capacity through yard closures, and the accompanying drop in the workforce to a pitiful rump of 6,000, the time draws closer when merchant shipbuilding in Britain may end altogether.

In that event, in any future international crisis affecting her vital interests Britain could well find herself unable to act at all, other than by the grace and favour of foreign states on whom we would rely for the supply of ships.

LAME EXCUSES

Typically, Tory Trade and Industry Secretary Paul Channon attributes the plight of British Shipbuilders to 'world trends'. According to his view — the standard Tory view — Britain is impotent to defend her most vital productive capabilities in the face of



OUTLOOK BLEAK Shipbuilding worker surveying yard at Wallsend

'world market forces'.

The lie is given to this hogwash by the performance of precisely those foreign competitors that have been allowed by our own Government to drive British Shipbuilders to the wall, namely Japan and South Korea. Neither country allows the free operation of 'market forces' at the expense of its own industries.

In the shipbuilding sector both are confronted with the same worldwide


slump in orders for new vessels which our Government is now trying to blame for the demise of Britain's shipbuilding industry. The difference is that the shipbuilding industries of these countries, like their other industries, have been built up from the word go by vigorous state action and protection.

If need be, the State in these countries will shell out subsidies to enable domestic firms to win contracts.

Contd. on back page

JAILED — FOR DEFENDING HIS OWN PEOPLE

BNP man gets 2 months on 'race hate' charges



DAVID OWENS, Leeds Organiser of the British National Party, was convicted at Leeds Crown Court on May 14th

of distributing items of literature liable to stir up 'racial hatred' (i.e. literature opposing the coloured invasion of Britain). He received 2 months' imprisonment.

As readers will anticipate, we have a great deal to say about this conviction and sentence. As we go to press, however, Mr. Owens is lodging an appeal against the court's verdict and any comments we may make upon the case could run the risk of being *sub judice*.

In the meantime Mr. Owens is in jail just for expressing his opinions. He has most probably lost his job, although this has yet to be officially confirmed.

He has a wife and one child who are going to find things hard in his absence, and possibly also when he is released — if he does not have a job to go back to.

Mrs. Owens is an ordinary housewife with no outside employment. We hope that all readers will send in some contributions to help her get by in the coming weeks. Donations should be sent to Leeds BNP, PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13.

Notwithstanding David Owens' conviction and imprisonment, this paper will continue to speak out against the alien invasion of Britain and its consequences. In order, however, to protect from possible further prosecutions people involved in the distribution of the paper, we are introducing some additional comments to those we make on racial matters which are intended to serve as a clarification of our position on the racial issue.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON

PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

WEST LONDON

PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON

PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley

PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE

EAST KENT

73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

DEVON & CORNWALL

PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ

EAST ANGLIA (NORTH)

A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

EAST ANGLIA (SOUTH)

2 Albert Road, Framlingham, Suffolk

EAST MIDLANDS

PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

BIRMINGHAM

PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT

PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

LIVERPOOL

PO Box 72, Liverpool L69 8AJ

MANCHESTER

PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE

Leeds & Bradford

PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

Wakefield

PO Box 42, Wakefield

Hull

PO Box 58, Hull HU6 7HR

TYNE & WEAR

PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND

PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Devizes (Wilts), Brierley Hill (W. Midlands), Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax and Sheffield. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to Party Head Office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

NEW ASSAULT ON BRITISH INDUSTRY

(Contd. from page 3)

Recently, British Shipbuilders lost an order from British Nuclear Fuels for a vessel to carry radio-active waste from nuclear power stations. The Japanese took the order by under-cutting the BS price of £13 million to the tune of £3-4 million! BS say that the Japanese price covers only building materials; shipyard wages and profits come from subsidies.

In addition to protecting her own yards from foreign competition, Japan has also offered credits of 100 per-cent for 30 years to her own ship-owners for the purchase of ships built in Japanese yards.

Government in Britain refuses to

give any such incentives to our own ship-owners to buy British. Meanwhile in world markets Britain is limited in the credit she can give to overseas ship-owners wishing to buy from British yards by her membership of the international 'Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development' (OECD). The terms of OECD set a maximum of 80 per-cent of contract price, repayable over 81 years at 7½ per-cent interest. Meanwhile 'developing' countries, such as South Korea, which now makes as many ships as the whole of Europe, are not required to observe these terms.

THE LIES OF THE LEFT

(Contd. from page 2)

BNP stewards are given strict instructions in such situations to do everything possible not to harm women, whatever the provocation, and in fact women were left alone throughout the fracas. The BNP stewards made for the men who were putting up violent resistance to ejection and speedily threw them out. That was all.

The same whining, lying claptrap was repeated in a letter sent to *The Guardian* newspaper the following week by a group of people representing members of the opposition at the meeting. *The Guardian* generously giving the letter a large space in its correspondence column, while of course not checking with the BNP to see if there was any truth in what it said.

This letter, in addition to saying much the same things about the meeting as said in *City Limits*, also referred to other meetings of the BNP that had taken place in the past, attributing to the BNP 'a whole series of acts of violence, the breaking up of meetings and damage to other public halls.' In fact there is not a shred of evidence to back up these allegations. The only occasions when public halls hired by the BNP have been damaged have been during 1985 at Bradford and at Leicester, in both cases this resulting from similar efforts by left-wing mobs to smash the meetings up and BNP members defending the meetings against these attacks. There is no record of any meeting of opponents of the BNP being attacked by BNP members — loathing as we do the practice of using violence to prevent the exercise of free speech, we would be foolish if we practised the very thing for which we condemn our opponents.

In another report of the Bethnal Green meeting published in the *Times*

Educational Supplement it was stated: "According to one observer, the violence began after members of the public started heckling the speakers...BNP members threw chairs at the hecklers..."

Once again, the opposition were just 'heckling' — that was all!

Two weeks later in the same paper a letter was printed bearing the signature of the headmaster of the school in whose hall the meeting was held. We are printing it in full on this page. It is a tissue of lies almost from start to finish, implying again that BNP personnel made an unprovoked attack on people who had just come to the meeting for peaceful purposes!

It is a sobering thought that people who purvey this dishonest nonsense are in charge of the teaching of our children!

CAN YOU TRUST LIARS?

There may be some people who read this paper who have left-wing sympathies.

To those people we put the question: do you really think you can put your trust in political leaders who have to resort to lies of this kind to justify their actions?

Whatever the theoretical merits or demerits of left-wing political ideas, such as socialism, internationalism, multi-racialism, pacifism (except for left-wingers of course), marxism, environmentalism, freudianism, sex-equality, feminism, anti-South Africanism and all the other familiar -isms, surely you will agree that there must be something wrong with political doctrines whose promoters simply cannot tell the truth!

Think about it, leftist friends — particularly those of you who were at the Bethnal Green meeting. You know what actually happened, for you were there. You know what your intentions were in coming to the meeting: you know that your objective was to break it

up, if necessary by force. You know that you employed just the same tactics as you always employ: first massed chanting to drown the speaker's voice, then violent resistance when the organisers of the meeting require you to leave. You know all this.

So why then do you maintain the pretence that things happened differently, that in fact you were the peaceful ones and we were the people who started the trouble?

Surely you cannot have very much confidence in the rightness of your political viewpoint if you have to cover up your tracks all the way along by lies, lies and again lies!

A political creed that relies on lies must be rotten through and through! That at least is what we think.

Isn't it about time you recognised it too?

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. An additional charge of £3.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 34p post
25 copies £2.70 + 98p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.82 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.23 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.44 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.65 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.86 post
400 copies £26.40 + £3.10 post
500 copies £32.00 + Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00 + by headline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.